Tel: EG 323652

Friday, April 22, 1994

**q08** 

## harassed no one, says private eye

eye working in East Grin-stead has denied harassing residents.

Eugene Ingram, 48, from California, has been investigating documents allegedly stolen from the Church of Scientology UK base at Saint Hill, During the past month he has approached some 150 people across the south east.

Speaking exclusively to the Courier about his work, Mr Ingram said: "Apart from a couple of exceptions, everytime I've seen allegations of harassment there's no name to go along with it."

"The question is who did I harass, how did I harass them? If knocking on a door and asking a person if I can talk is harassment, I have no control over that."

Mr Ingram added: "I am very open in the job that I do. I do not need to harass people.'

Mr Ingram said that he was not a Scientologist.
"I work for a law firm

who happens to represent the Church."

He said he has worked for a number of law firms representing the Church since 1982.

## **Beliefs**

Asked why he was not a Scientology member, Mr Ingram replied: "I'm a Christian. I'm content with my religious beliefs."

A former police sergeant, Mr Ingram said he left the Los Angeles Police Department after being shot in the back in 1980, and was disabled for two years.

Mr Ingram had been staying on and off at the Felbridge Resort Hotel in East Grinstead but has now left.

He intends to stay in the area for another weeks.

"I've been amazed at the co-operation of English citizens in assisting my operation. Everybody I have spoken to has been wonder-

## Profit motive behind attack

on Scientology

YOUR story Ex-Scientologists to 'Expose' Cult (March 4) completely missed the point.

not told is that the two Scientology "ex-members" in question have been involved in removing materials from church premises and using these for their

own ends.

The true story is this. Robin Scott and Ron Lawley engaged in a criminal con-spiracy in 1983. In further-ance of that conspiracy, Scott and others went to Denmark, entered a church using a subterfuge, and stole sacred religious scrip-tures. Why? So Scott and others could exploit them for profit.

Part of Scott and Lawley's plans was to start up new Scientology organisations under a different

name and provide the church services scribed in the stolen materials.

Why an organisation separate from the church?
So they could to gersonally profit and enrich themselves.

These materials are held in a great deal of respect by church members and it is part of church procedures that Scientologists be prepared to receive counselling with these materials. Much the same as in other religions, preparation is needed before one can move to

this higher spiritual level.
Not only have Scott and Lawley taken the materials for their own use, but they have deliberately aired them in public, knowing this to be upsetting and of-fensive to Scientologists.

Lawley made a point of bringing up in court the contents of these materials even though this information was not part of the general discussion and had no

need to be. Not only is that act offensive to the church, but the theft of sacred religious material with the intention of black marketing for gain is abhorrent to so-ciety at large.

Scott was arrested by Danish authorities, tried, convicted and jailed for his involvement in the removal of the church materials.

Ron Lawley had escaped from Denmark before the police could arrest him.

As a further result from the theft, a court ordered that Scott and Lawley together pay the church over

That is what the suit was about. Since it was filed, the original materials that

● EDITOR'S NOTE: The Courier invited Mr

Lawley and Mr Scott to comment on this letter. Mr Lawley told us: "Eighty per cent of what

they say we consider not true and 20 per cent debatable, but it seems to us that as we are right in the middle of an appeal it would be best not to make any further comment. "When the appeal is over we would be happy to go into it in more detail."

Scott and Lawley stole were collected by the police and Scott's and Lawley's organisations have shut down.

So in fact the Church of

Scientology had no need to continue with the litigation.

Their businesses, based on

their stolen inventory, were

why were Scott and Law-ley still attempting to liti-gate this issue? Again — money. No longer having organisations that any per-

son in the UK or anywhere

else in the world is willing

to frequent, they now want

to profit by stating that the

materials they stole prove

Scientology is bad. Several years ago they

deemed the materials to b

so valuable they were worth the risk of theft and incar-

no more.

ceration. Now, suddenly, they claim otherwise.

The only common denominator is their disguised profit motive.

Another minor detail Scott failed to mention is that his barrister would not represent him any more.

Scott had been made a valid and generous offer for settlement which had al-ready been accepted by three of the five defendants in the case. Scott refused to accept, at which point his barrister could no longer ethically advise him and left the case.

This was all borne out in the final hearing on March 8. The judge, rather than return the copies to Lawley

and Scott unconditionally, granted an in-definite stay of his order pending appeal, barring the defendant dants from obtaining copies of the stolen materials.

Further, the judge made awley agree to an undertaking that, should he ever get the copies back, he would not violate the copy right of the materials or use them for publication.

Robin Scott refused to cooperate with the court on this, thus revealing his real intentions, whereupon the judge ordered him placed under an injunction on the same terms.
Both defendants were ad-

monished and instructed that a violation of those terms could result in a jail sentence of up to two years.

Appeal has now been

filed in the case and the materials are being held until the completion of the appeal

Your article incorrectly

stated that the church faces massive costs in this case. Contrary to boisterous claims of Lawley and Scott, at the March 8 hearing the at the March 8 hearing the judge made it clear the only costs Lawley and Scott are entitled to are for those hearings where the church has not already won orders for costs — and the church has won costs orders at almost every h throughout the case. hearing

When it is added up and balanced out it is Scott and Lawley who will probably owe the church money.

In fact Lawley seems to understand this perfectly. He wrote to the church's solicitor the day before the last hearing that "If these costs are enforced against us then we could face financial ruin".

Mr Lawley and Mr Scott should have thought of that before they decided to travel to Denmark to steal the church's material in order to line their own pockets.

Scientology is thriving. That is a story you have not printed.

SHEILA CHALEFF Public affairs director Church of Scientology Saint Hill Manor East Grinstead

## **LETTERS**